

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,401	10/23/2003	William J. Drasler	02-363US	8503
7590 10/09/2007 E. J. Brooks & Associates, PLLC			EXAMINER	
Suite 500			IZQUIERDO, DAVID A	
1221 Nicollet Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/692,401	DRASLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A. Izquierdo	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	ıl <u>y 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-23 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 19-23</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	1 1/2 1					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		wed				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certifica copies not recei	veu.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		I Patent Application				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11 July 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the additional limitation "longitudinal" renders the instant application allowable over the prior art reference Drasler et al. ("Drasler")(U.S. 6,241,763). However, the amendments made to the claims are still anticipated by the Drasler reference. Considering the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim language the prior art (as seen in figure 3B) is folded longitudinally wherein a first portion (80) is folded over a second portion (70). Since the fold is in the longitudinal direction and a first portion has been folded over a second portion the prior art meets the claimed limitations.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 17 and 19-23 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- Claims 2-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 3. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 4. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3738

5. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Drasler et al. ("Drasler")(U.S. Patent Number 6,241,763).

6. Drasler discloses a method of providing a unidirectional flow valve comprising folding a first portion of a vein over an adjacent second portion of the vein and engaging at least two opposing areas of the second portion of the vein with at least one support device, the support device comprising the stitching holding the two portions of the vein together (Abstract; col. 5, line 60 – col. line 30).

### **Conclusion**

- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Izquierdo whose telephone number is 571-272-1943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am until 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,401 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's 10.

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David A. Izquierdo

Patent Examiner

RY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700